



## Big Wasp Survey Summary Update 2019

Dr Seirian Sumner (UCL) & Prof Adam Hart (Univ. Gloucestershire)  
[www.bigwaspsurvey.org](http://www.bigwaspsurvey.org)

*Before we dive into our 3<sup>rd</sup> year of the Big Wasp Survey (2019), here's an update of what we've achieved, what we're doing with the data and where we're going next. Huge thanks all our participants and sponsors, for making this project such a success! We hope you find this report interesting and informative, and we look forward to your continued support.*

### Why We Need the Big Wasp Survey

The Big Wasp Survey is a citizen science project that was set up in the UK in 2017 by [Prof Adam Hart \(University of Gloucestershire\)](#) and [Dr Seirian Sumner \(UCL\)](#). The aim was to engage the public in a project that tackled the negative attitudes people have towards wasps and improved our understanding of wasp biology, diversity and distributions across the UK. Although we had big ambitions for the [Big Wasp Survey](#) we weren't really sure how it would all pan out. But the enthusiasm of so many citizen scientists and the spread of #wasplove across the late summer meant our ambitions were exceeded!

Unlike honeybees, social wasps are little studied, and the important ecosystem services they provide – as natural predators that regulate populations of other insects and arthropods – is largely unappreciated. The Big Wasp Survey asked members of the public to make a simple wasp trap, hang it in their garden for seven days, and then send in the trap contents to the scientists in order that the wasps could be identified.



Wasps targetted by the Big Wasp Survey. *Vespa crabro* (Patrick Kennedy); *Vespula vulgaris* (FrankHornig\_Ownwork\_CC BY-SA 3.0)

### Why Killing the Wasps is Necessary and Ok

Wasps are difficult to identify to species level without killing them; it takes a good hand-lens or microscope and a trained eye to distinguish even the nine species we find in the UK. However, the Big Wasp Survey is designed to minimise impact on wasp populations: it is timed so that it attracts only workers – indeed, we have not found a single queen among the thousands of wasps that have now been processed. The traps do not attract males. You can read more about the issues around lethal sampling of insects [here](#) and [here](#), or listen to Prof Hart's Radio 4 programme [here](#).

## 2017 Results

### Big Wasp Survey Generates High Quality Data on Wasp Distributions

Thanks to everyone's help, and to some serious wasp sorting over the winter, we were able to publish the first BWS scientific paper in the journal [Insect Conservation and Diversity](#). The main findings are summarised below (apologies – we couldn't afford the open access fees for the journal).

The 2017 survey ran from 26<sup>th</sup> August to 10<sup>th</sup> Sept; 2377 people registered to take part, and 54.4% (1294) of these people submitted their results. Of these, 551 traps (42.5%) contained wasps, representing 548 postcodes across the UK; 6680 wasps could be identified to species level; a further 180 wasps from 39 traps were too damaged to identify.

Three species dominated: the common yellowjacket wasp *Vespula vulgaris* accounted for 44% of wasps (2942 wasps in 407 traps); the German yellowjacket wasp *Vespula germanica* accounted for a further 44%. (2974 wasps in 251 traps); and the European hornet *Vespa crabro* accounted for 6% of the sample (395 wasps in 100 traps) (see Fig 1). We also detected small number of *Vespula rufa* (2 in 2 traps) and *Dolichovespula media* (9 wasps in 6 traps).




Figure 1: Plots of species distribution from 2017 sampling

By comparing with a long-term dataset of the same species collected by members of the [Bees, Wasps and Ants Recording Scheme \(BWARS\)](#), we were able to show that the quality of the data being generated by the Big Wasp Survey is excellent. It is less spatially biased than BWARS data (meaning that Big Wasp Survey is sampling wasps more evenly across the UK), and the species distribution maps obtained from just two weeks Big Wasp Survey sampling generated similar maps to the long-term dataset, suggesting that our data make biological sense.

## 2018 Results

### More Citizen Scientists and More Wasps!

The 2018 survey ran from 25<sup>th</sup> August to 8<sup>th</sup> Sept; 4956 people registered to take part, and 51.69% (2562) of these people submitted their results; 73 records contained missing information (e.g. no/incorrect postcode). Of the remaining 2486 records (representing 2258 unique postcodes); 1779 traps (71.53%) contained wasps. To date 17,933 wasps from 1263 traps have been identified to species level; thanks to the efforts of our volunteers (see acknowledgements) the remaining 516 traps will be finished by the end of August 2019; we will then report on the number of species recorded and their abundances.

### 2018 outputs

In 2017, we were largely interested in finding out how good the survey was at generating high quality data in large enough amounts to be useful. The answer is: it's brilliant, thanks to you! We are using the 2018 datasets to improve on the methods and to maximise scientific output from the survey. Here are some of the problems we have identified, and how we're working this year to solve them.




Figure 2: 2018 data: All traps; traps that caught wasps; traps that did not catch any wasps

### Under-represented Areas of the UK: Wales, Ireland and Rural Areas

Although the Big Wasp Survey is generating data that is dispersed across the UK more evenly than other recording schemes, we still have a long way to go before we can use the data to determine what type of habitats different wasp species like, and how land use (e.g. farming, pesticides, urban pollutants) are affecting their populations. Our analyses now clearly identify three areas that are going un-sampled. These are Wales, Northern Ireland and the more remote wild spaces around the UK (e.g. Peak District, Lake District, Highlands) (see Fig 2). The latter is understandable as we have previously requested that people place traps in their gardens: indeed the vast majority of samples in 2017 and 2018 were in built-up areas. But the lack of sampling in Wales and Northern Island, and to some extent Scotland, suggests that our media campaigns are not reaching those areas, and/or we are failing to engage with the right messages.

In 2019 we are actively trying to address these issues. Samplers are being asked to check on our website prior to placing a trap to see if samples from their postcodes are required. We are also setting up new collaborations with organisations like the National Trust, with the hope of increasing the number of traps in more remote regions. Finally, we are asking some of our samplers to place an extra trap in a wild place, near to their home. We hope that these approaches will help us improve the spatial sampling of wasps across all land types and regions of the UK.

### *Sampling to Improve the Data Analysis*

Having collected wasps in your garden tells us that specific wasps are present in your area. However, the absence of a wasp species in your garden could mean that species is not there, or that your trap simply didn't catch it (this is called a non-detection error). Another problem is how to use the abundance data (i.e. how many wasps of each species you caught in your trap). To address this problem, we are asking a subset of our samplers to place out two or more traps in the same location: this will allow us to calculate the statistical likelihood that the absence of a wasp is a true absence, or just a non-detection error.

You might have caught 10 *V. vulgaris* in your trap, but the garden down the road only caught 1: is this because you have more wasps in your garden (e.g. you have the right prey available for them/you have a nest nearby), or is it something to do with the type of bottle or capture fluid you used? Standardising methods is a key challenge for citizen science projects: we want it to be easy for you to take part – using whatever bottle/beer/juice you have available will make it easy. This summer we are conducting a controlled experiment to determine how the type of fluid used in your trap affects the attractiveness/effectiveness of capturing wasps. Watch this space...

### *Minimising by-catch (non-wasps)*

You may have noticed that your trap perhaps caught some insects that were not social wasps. This is called the 'by-catch' – the stuff that we catch by accident and that we don't really want. We've analysed the by-catch from 2017 and are pleased to say that the vast majority of it consists of scavenging flies (the type you swat in your kitchen everyday). We caught hardly any pollinators (bees, hover flies), and we had just a splattering of moths. Where possible, we have passed on our by-catch to other specialist insect researchers so they have not gone to waste. Whilst we can reassure ourselves that our methods are not adversely affecting the insect populations, we would rather improve our method so it is more specific to wasp-catch, and minimises by-catch. We are addressing this exact question in controlled experiments this year to find out which fluid type maximises wasp-catch and minimises by-catch. Watch this space...

### *Public Workshops to Help Identify the Wasps*

As an unfunded project, we do not have a large team of experts to process and identify the thousands of insects you send us. To help us process the large sample sizes in 2018, we engaged members of the public in wasp identification and sorting workshops, hosted at UCL, the Natural History Museum, The Field Studies Centre (Bushy Park), and Dinton Pastures (see acknowledgements).

Ten workshops were held, each with 10-20 members of the public. We provided a training session for the participants before letting them loose on the wasp samples. Collectively, they helped identify 11,223 wasps from 644 traps. We checked the wasp IDs from 115 of these traps, to estimate the error rates in identification; we were delighted to find that the public are generally very good at their wasp identification, after training. Only 4% of *V. vulgaris* and 16% of *V. germanica* were misidentified. All of the hornets were correctly identified. We are working on improving our workshop training tools for the public, to reduce these errors further. These workshops have enriched the degree to which we are addressing some of our key aims of the Big Wasp Survey: to engage the public with social wasps and improve the public's perception and appreciation of wasps and their role in the ecosystem.



Fig. 3: Big Wasp Survey public insect sorting and identification workshops, 2018

## 2019 Survey Plans

This year BWS is taking a different approach. We will be contacting those of you that have taken part in 2017 and 2018 and asking you to be "super samplers" - if this is you you'll receive an email from us inviting you to sign up. We'll also be opening up registration to people in specific postcodes: you can check if your postcode is needed [here](#). This sampling approach gives us better data for fewer wasps, which is an important consideration for BWS, and also allows us to be more targeted in where we sample across the UK. We apologise to those of you who would like to take part, but don't qualify as a 'super sampler' and/or you're not in one of our under-represented postcodes for 2019 - we hope you understand that we need to sample only what is needed. Please continue to spread the #wasplove, and look out for our call for wider participation in future years of the Big Wasp Survey!

## Added Scientific Value from Big Wasp Survey

We could never have anticipated the enthusiasm and success of the Big Wasp Survey when we set it up in 2017. Citizen science projects which involve considerable input like this, rarely retain 50% of those who sign up to it; this is especially surprising for an uncharismatic organism like the wasp! The credit goes to the public for making this such an unprecedented success.

Whilst our original scientific aim was to set up a recording scheme to map the diversity and distributions of social wasps in the UK, several other projects are now in progress, using these samples. We've successfully extracted DNA from the wasps you sent us, and are now using genetic methods to study the population genetic structure of the three main species across the UK. This will tell us how far wasps travel from their natal nest, how much populations are mixing and whether land-use affects wasp movements and populations; a subset of wasps was sent to New Zealand for them to use in a comparison with the invasive populations of British wasps they have there. An exciting collaboration with a team in Finland is using your wasps to determine their usefulness as biological indicators of pollutants (e.g. heavy metals).



*Student projects on the Big Wasp Survey (from top LHS, clockwise): Sarah Raby Buck, Preslava Michorova, Peggy Bevan, Shaughn Anderson, Elo Wilkinson-Rowe and Theo Isaac (aged 2).*

## Acknowledgments

The Big Wasp Survey is a no-frills, no-budget citizen science project. This means it runs on the good will of the public (the citizen scientists), and the generous support from volunteers, charities and natural history organisations. In particular, the survey could not run without the support of the [Royal Entomological Society](#) (especially Dr Luke Tilly), who fund the FREEPOST which means the public can submit their samples at no cost to themselves. Our webmaster, Cris Thompson ([Fluster Designs](#)) goes beyond the call of duty in providing a 24/7 online help during the sampling periods, and who has made the public-facing image of the Big Wasp Survey punchy, eye-catching and memorable! We are indebted to the following organisations (and people) for providing venue space and facilities for hosting the public workshops: Natural History Museum (special thanks to Max Barclay), The Field Studies Centre (Bushy Park; special thanks to Nicola Prehn), and British Entomological and Natural History Society, at Dinton Pastures (special thanks to Marc Taylor). A special thanks also goes to Nicola Prehn, who has contributed a huge amount of time to the survey, in assisting with administration, workshop organisation and general running of the project; and also John Lock, London naturalist extraordinaire, who's untiring interest in wasps has fed into many aspects of the project. We thank also our data analyst and expert Dr Nick Isaac (at Centre for Ecology and Hydrology) for his help with getting the most out of our data, and ensuring the highest data-quality standards are applied.

The wasps would not get sorted and identified without the hard work of teams of undergraduate and masters' students at UCL and the University of Gloucestershire. In particular, we thank project students Suzy Warnock (UCL BSc, 2018), Peggy Bevan (UCL, MRes 2018), Debbie Walsh (UCL BSc, 2019) and Shaughn Anderson (MSc 2019), and In2Science 6<sup>th</sup> form student Elo Wilkinson-Rowe (2018). We also thank UCL summer student volunteers Sarah Raby Buck, Preslava Michorova and Tsvetoslav Georgiev who are responsible for pushing through the final sorting of the 2018 sample, and MRes students Danai Kontou and Alejandro Obregon who've managed to amplify decent DNA from the Big Wasp Survey samples! Finally, we thank the thousands of members of the public who took part in the survey, and who helped sort the wasps at our public workshops.

